

The Acrid Stench of Hypocrisy

"WHERE THE REPUBLIC NOW STANDS"

by [Joseph DeMaio](#), ©2021



Nancy Pelosi, 2016, [public domain](#)

(Mar. 16, 2021) — Faithful P&E readers, you’ve heard it here before, and you are about to hear it again: if hypocrisy did not already exist, a Democrat would invent it at breakfast merely to survive until lunch. Case in point: the continuing saga of the “contested” race for the House of Representatives [seat](#) for the Second Congressional District of Iowa. All you need to know about the abject mendacity and cunning treachery of congressional House Democrats and their XX chromosome führer, Frau Pelosi, is found in this case.

As a matter of State of Iowa law, the “final” determination of who prevailed in the congressional race for the Second District was determined by a bipartisan canvassing commission and the Iowa Supreme Court last year. After exhaustive challenges and hand-recounting of ballots, Republican Marianne Miller-Meeke was declared the winner, albeit by a very slim margin: six (6) votes.

Dissatisfied with that result, and alleging ballot-counting “irregularities,” the loser-Democrat, Rita Hart, last December filed an “appeal” with the House Committee on Administration. That “committee” is chaired by one Zoe Lofgren, one of the most radical members of Congress oozing out of California.

Miller-Meeke was sworn in and seated (“provisionally,” because of the pending appeal) in the House of Representatives as the Representative of Iowa Congressional District 2 on January 2, 2021. Ah, but those “ballot-counting irregularities” could not be ignored, despite the certification of the election of Miller-Meeke by the Iowa Supreme Court.

Nooooo. Hart, the loser – despite having failed to exhaust available remedies in Iowa – determined to take her case to Lofgren’s doorstep, asserting that she, not Miller-Meeks, should be declared the winner. Stated otherwise, Miller-Meeks should be expelled and Hart should be seated in her place. Stated otherwise, the winner and loser should trade labels and places.

Stay tuned, because the leftist hypocrisy gets even better.

The gist of the appeal is the debunked (to borrow terminology from the Democrats) claim that some 22 ballots were improperly excluded from being counted by Iowa election officials. If included, so goes the conspiratorial and baseless claim (hat tips again to Democrats), the loser would become the winner. The “appeal” filed by Hart’s lawyer is a 176-page [missive](#) submitted by... wait for it..., wait for it...: Washington, D.C. Perkins Coie lawyer Marc Elias. Wow.

Recall that Elias – personal legal counsel to Hillary (“BleachBit?-What-BleachBit?”) Clinton and then-candidate for president Kamala (“Of-Course-I’m-a-Natural-Born-Citizen”) [Harris](#) – is the same lawyer responsible for funneling monies from Barack Obama’s and Hillary Clinton’s campaigns and the Democratic National Committee (DNC) to the Fusion GPS opposition [research firm](#), which produced the fraudulent “Russia dossier” falsely accusing President Trump of “improprieties” and “Russian collusion.” It’s that “birds of a feather” thing.

Recall as well that Elias was the lawyer who challenged the election of New York Republican Claudia Tenney over Democrat Anthony Brindisi to that state’s 22nd congressional district. Elias claimed that Dominion Voting machines used to tabulate the ballots – yes, Virginia, the same machines President Trump and others blamed in part for the results in the 2020 general election – “misread [hundreds if not thousands](#) of votes.”

Do you not love the smell of Democrat [hypocrisy](#) in the morning? Mercifully, Brindisi conceded to Tenney after the New York Supreme Court ordered Tenney to be certified as the winner and that contest appears to be over.

But I digress.



Rep. Zoe Lofgren (video from official [website](#))

The Elias/Perkins Coie 176-page diatribe in the Miller-Meeks/Hart challenge was met with a 36-page [motion to dismiss](#) filed by three collaborating Iowa attorneys on behalf of Miller-Meeks. While clearly baseless (another hat tip to the D's), Hart's "notice of contest" will be allowed to move forward. This comes as the result of Lofgren's control of the committee (6 Democrats, 3 Republicans..., seriously?) and the [tabling](#) of the motion to dismiss.

Despite the bipartisan canvassing of the Iowa vote and the ruling of the Iowa Supreme Court, Lofgren belched at the meeting of the committee considering the [motion to dismiss](#) that "[t]he American people deserve to know who actually won this election and the people of Iowa's 2nd Congressional District deserve to be represented by that person."

Lofgren then added, falsely (last hat tip...), that, "[t]oday none of us can state with confidence who actually won this election..." and that "[a]nswering that question is a solemn responsibility of this committee and it is our obligation under federal law and under the Constitution."

Speaker/führer Pelosi chimed in that "[of course](#)" the House has the authority to do this, potentially removing the victorious Republican and seating the loser Democrat. Tell me again that election double standards are nonexistent in this country. And that [HR 1](#) will fix that. I'll wait.


This, faithful P&E readers, is where the republic now stands. With a clearly cognitively-challenged Goofball-in-Chief in the Oval Office; with a likely ineligible and usurping Vice-President skulking in the background; with a cunning and ruthless dictatorette (a fitting [portmanteau](#), don't you think?) reigning over the House of Representatives; and with a now-Majority Leader of the Senate comfortable with [threatening](#) Supreme Court

Justices on the Court's plaza, the future survival of the constitutional republic is now plainly at stake. And if you believe otherwise, you are either willfully ignorant or intellectually blind.

And all of this does not even touch the issues of illegal aliens flooding over the southern [border](#), or the ominous threat of H.R.1 [becoming law](#) or the still existing threat of the Goofball and Democrats to [pack](#) the Supreme Court.

And, speaking of the court-packing commission, does it concern you that the panel is housed within the White House Counsel's Office, with membership to be "filled out with the behind-the-scenes [help](#) of the Biden campaign's lawyer Bob Bauer?" You mean the same former Perkins Coie senior partner Bob Bauer who assured us – as White House counsel to the guy – that Barack Hussein Obama, Jr. was born in Honolulu and thus eligible to the presidency? That Bob Bauer?

Accordingly, faithful P&E readers, you are again directed to this [offering](#) and its suggested course of action to bring this cataclysm to a peaceful halt, and sooner rather than later. If seven (7) registered Democrat House members were to put their partisan beliefs aside and take to heart Benjamin Franklin's 1787 [advice](#), there is a chance – not a guarantee, but a reasonable chance – that the Republic can be preserved.



Would there be consequences for The Seven? Of course. Nothing worthwhile comes without either the risk or the reality of consequences. And, as we are constantly reminded: "Elections have consequences." But it is time to dance. Because if nothing is done *now*..., not next week or next month..., *now* ..., dancing may be outlawed. Forever. Think *1984* and *Animal Farm*. Even *The Communist Manifesto*.

And if Zoe Lofgren and the Wretch from San Crapcisco succeed in expelling Marianne Miller-Meeks and seating in her place Rita Hart in the House, it will then take eight (8) Democrat patriots to accomplish the task.

No time to waste.